

TUM-HEP-621/06
KYUSHU-HET-93
hep-ph/0603116

Twisted Flavors and Tri/bi-Maximal Neutrino Mixing

Naoyuki Haba^a, Atsushi Watanabe^b, and Koichi Yoshioka^b

^a*Physik-Department, Technische Universität München,
James-Frank-Straße, 85748 Garching, Germany*

^b*Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan*

(March, 2006)

Abstract

A new framework for handling flavor symmetry breaking in the neutrino sector is discussed where the source of symmetry breaking is traced to the global property of right-handed neutrinos in extra-dimensional space. Light neutrino phenomenology has rich and robust predictions such as the tri/bi-maximal form of generation mixing, controlled mass spectrum, and no need of flavor mixing couplings in the theory.

The fermion masses and mixing angles have been long-standing as well as inspiring problems in particle physics in these decades. Their observed patterns, particularly the recent results of neutrino experiments, strongly suggest profound principles such as grand unification and flavor symmetry lying behind the data. However flavor symmetry should be broken in non-trivial way while preserving the trace of symmetry structure. In this letter we present a novel way to realize flavor symmetry breaking in the lepton sector where a central ingredient is the global property of right-handed neutrinos in extra-dimensional space. Our method provides highly predictive, calculable effects of flavor breaking, and is also applied to the quark sector and grand unified theory.

As a simple example of higher-dimensional theory, we consider a five-dimensional model where the standard-model fields including three-generation lepton doublets l_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are confined on the four-dimensional wall at $x^5 = 0$. Besides the gravity, only standard-model gauge singlet fields can propagate in the bulk [1][2] not to violate the charge conservations. We thus introduce gauge singlet five-dimensional Dirac fermions Ψ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) which mean right-handed neutrinos ψ_{R_i} and their chiral partners. The Lorentz invariance leads to the general five-dimensional Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & i\overline{\Psi}_j \not{\partial} \Psi_j - \overline{\Psi}_i m_{D_{ij}} \Psi_j - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\Psi}_i^c M_{ij} \Psi_j + \text{h.c.}) \\ & - (\overline{l}_i m_{ij} \Psi_j + \overline{l}_i m_{ij}^c \Psi_j^c) \delta(x^5) + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where m , m^c denote the mass matrices after the electroweak symmetry breaking, and the conjugated spinor is defined by $\Psi^c = \Gamma^3 \Gamma^1 \overline{\Psi}^t$ so that it is Lorentz covariant in five dimensions. The existence of four-dimensional subspace violates the bulk Lorentz invariance locally. If the fifth component of a vector field develops a nonzero expectation value, the five-dimensional Lorentz invariance is broken in the whole bulk and that could induce mass terms $\overline{\Psi} \Gamma^5 m_D^5 \Psi$, $\overline{\Psi}^c \Gamma^5 M^5 \Psi$ [3], but we do not consider such a possibility in this letter.

Let us suppose the extra space is compactified on the S^1/Z_2 orbifold with radius R . There are two types of operations on this space; the reflection $\hat{Z} : x^5 \rightarrow -x^5$ and the translation $\hat{T} : x^5 \rightarrow x^5 + 2\pi R$. They trivially satisfy $\hat{T}\hat{Z} = \hat{Z}\hat{T}^{-1}$. On field variables, these operations are expressed in terms of matrices in the field space: $\hat{Z}\phi(x^5) = Z^{-1}\phi(-x^5)$ and $\hat{T}\phi(x^5) = T^{-1}\phi(x^5 + 2\pi R)$. The boundary conditions are then defined by the identifications $\hat{Z}\phi(x^5) = \phi(x^5)$ and $\hat{T}\phi(x^5) = \phi(x^5)$. In particular, for the bulk fermions Ψ_i , they are explicitly given by

$$Z = X_Z \otimes i\Gamma^5, \quad T = X_T \otimes 1, \quad (2)$$

where X_Z and X_T are 3×3 unitary matrices acting on the generation space, which satisfy the consistency relation $X_Z X_T = X_T^{-1} X_Z$. The $i\Gamma^5$ part is needed to make the kinetic term invariant, and it also turns out to forbid the bulk Dirac mass m_D . Exactly speaking, the parity Z has an ambiguity of sign, but it has an effect that exchanges upper and lower components of a Dirac fermion and is physically irrelevant to later discussion.

With a set of boundary conditions, the bulk fermions Ψ_i are expanded by Kaluza-Klein modes with their kinetic terms properly normalized:

$$\Psi_i(x^\mu, x^5) = \left(\sum_m [W_R^{(m)}(x^5)]_{ij} \psi_{R_j}^{(m)}(x^\mu), \sum_n [W_L^{(n)}(x^5)]_{ij} \psi_{L_j}^{(n)}(x^\mu) \right)^t. \quad (3)$$

After integrating over the fifth dimension, we obtain the neutrino mass matrix in four-dimensional effective theory:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}^t \epsilon \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} & -M_0 & M_0^c & -M_1 & M_1^c & \cdots \\ -M_0^t & -M_{R00} & M_{D00}^t & -M_{R01} & M_{D10}^t & \cdots \\ M_0^{ct} & M_{D00} & M_{L00}^* & M_{D01} & M_{L01}^* & \cdots \\ -M_1^t & -M_{R10} & M_{D01}^t & -M_{R11} & M_{D11}^t & \cdots \\ M_1^{ct} & M_{D10} & M_{L10}^* & M_{D11} & M_{L11}^* & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$M_{Dmn} = \int dx^5 W_L^{(m)\dagger} \partial_y W_R^{(n)}, \quad (6)$$

$$M_{Rmn} = \int dx^5 W_R^{(m)t} M W_R^{(n)}, \quad (7)$$

$$M_{Lmn} = \int dx^5 W_L^{(m)t} M W_L^{(n)}, \quad (8)$$

$$M_n = m W_R^{(n)}(0), \quad (9)$$

$$M_n^c = m^c W_L^{(n)}(0)^*. \quad (10)$$

The mass matrix $\mathcal{M}$ is written in the basis $\mathcal{N} = (\epsilon l_i^*, \psi_{R_i}^{(0)}, \epsilon \psi_{L_i}^{(0)*}, \psi_{R_i}^{(1)}, \epsilon \psi_{L_i}^{(1)*}, \dots)$ where zero modes are suitably extracted according to boundary conditions ($\epsilon = i\sigma^2$).

In this letter we consider S_3 as an example of flavor symmetry group, which is the simplest non-abelian discrete group and has attractive features as the flavor symmetry [4]. The S_3 group is composed of six elements which are the identity $X_1 = I$, two types of cyclic rotations $X_{2,3}$, and three types of permutations $X_{4,5,6}$. The non-trivial representations are doublet $\underline{2}$ and pseudo singlet $\underline{1}_P$, the latter of which changes the sign under a permutation. On the $\underline{3} = \underline{2} + \underline{1}$ representation, the group elements are expressed by the following 3×3 matrices

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & X_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}, & X_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, & X_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, & X_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is interesting to note that possible boundary conditions for bulk fields are limited by several consistencies. First, since $\hat{Z}$ is a parity, available choices are $X_Z = X_{1,4,5,6}$. In addition, for the translation, X_T must satisfy the relation $X_Z X_T = X_T^{-1} X_Z$. Clearly not all types of boundary conditions are allowed; even in the case that X_Z is trivial, X_T is limited to $X_{1,4,5,6}$. That is more clearly seen by defining another parity operation $\hat{Z}' = \hat{T} \hat{Z}$, which is the reflection about $x^5 = \pi R$.

Now let us discuss flavor symmetry breaking with boundary twisting and characteristic consequences on neutrino physics. Throughout this letter, as an example, the three generations of lepton doublets and bulk fermions are set to be S_3 triplets in which the first and second generations constitute doublets and the third ones are singlets. The first case we consider is along the line of the original Scherk-Schwarz theory [5], that is, to have twisted flavors around the extra dimension: $(X_Z, X_T) = (1, X_4)$ on the bulk fermions Ψ_i . With this condition at hand, the mode expansion becomes ($n \geq 1$)

$$W_R^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$$W_R^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(n \frac{x^5}{R}\right) & & \\ & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\left[\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^5}{R}\right] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\left(n \frac{x^5}{R}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\left[\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^5}{R}\right] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\left(n \frac{x^5}{R}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

$$W_L^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(n \frac{x^5}{R}) \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin[(n - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{x^5}{R}] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(n \frac{x^5}{R}) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin[(n - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{x^5}{R}] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(n \frac{x^5}{R}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

The mass terms in the Lagrangian are generally described by two types of flavor symmetric forms: the unit matrix I and the democratic matrix D where all elements are equal to 1;

$$M = aI + \frac{b}{3}D, \quad m = pI + \frac{r}{3}D. \quad (15)$$

Another mass term m^c is irrelevant in the present case since $X_Z = 1$ and the lower components decouple from the standard-model fields [$W_L^{(n)}(0) = 0$]. Integrated out the infinite tower of bulk neutrinos, the standard-model neutrinos l_i receive the Majorana masses

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \frac{\frac{|a+b|}{a+b} (p+r)^2}{6 \tanh(|a+b|\pi R)} D + \frac{\frac{|a|}{a} p^2}{12 \tanh(|a|\pi R)} E + \frac{\frac{|a|}{a} p^2 \tanh(|a|\pi R)}{4} F, \quad (16)$$

in a good approximation that $\frac{|p|}{\sqrt{R}}, \frac{|r|}{\sqrt{R}} \ll |a|, |b|$ or $1/R$, i.e. the Dirac masses are much smaller than the bulk Majorana masses or the compactification scale. We have defined the integer matrices

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ 1 & -1 & \\ -1 & 1 & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The obtained matrix $\mathcal{M}_l$ gives notable predictions of neutrino masses and mixing angles. The mass eigenvalues are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} |m_1| &= \frac{|p|^2}{2 \tanh(|a|\pi R)}, \\ |m_2| &= \frac{|p+r|^2}{2 \tanh(|a+b|\pi R)}, \\ |m_3| &= \frac{|p|^2 \tanh(|a|\pi R)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The current experimental status proves possible three types of mass spectra [6]; normal hierarchy (NH), inverted hierarchy (IH), and degenerate neutrinos. It is found that the latter two spectra are accommodated to the above mass predictions. (If a Lorentz-violating bulk Majorana mass were adopted instead of M , $\tanh$ is replaced with $\tan$ in the mass formula, and NH can be obtained.) For example, the IH case is realized

for a small bulk Majorana mass ($|a|R \ll 1$) and suppressed democratic couplings b and r . Typical mass scales are $|m_{1,2}| \sim 10^{-1} \text{ eV} \left(\frac{10^8}{|a| [\text{GeV}]} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-10}}{R [\text{GeV}^{-1}]} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $|m_3| \sim 10^{-4} \text{ eV} \left(\frac{|a| [\text{GeV}]}{10^8} \right) \left(\frac{R [\text{GeV}^{-1}]}{10^{-10}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}}$. Similar estimations can also be done for other patterns. In any case, one has almost no need of generation mixing couplings both in the Dirac and bulk Majorana mass terms in order for the mass eigenvalues being acceptable. The mass hierarchy structure is also interesting. In usual case, the smaller heavy-field Majorana masses, the larger induced light neutrino masses. However we have found in the above the eigenvalue m_3 has an opposite behavior: a smaller bulk Majorana mass ($|a|R \ll 1$) rather suppresses induced mass (m_3) than usual. This is because such eigenvalues come only from heavy excited modes which are decoupled in the limit $|a|R \ll 1$. Such unconventional behavior is useful not only for generating tiny neutrino masses but also to discuss some neutrino physics in cosmology. Contrary to wide possibility of spectrum, the neutrino mixing matrix is definite and given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} P, \quad (19)$$

where P is a diagonal phase matrix. This form is often called in the literature the tri/bi-maximal mixing [7][8] with the prediction of mixing angles $\theta_{12} = \sin^{-1}(1/\sqrt{3}) \simeq 35.3^\circ$, $|\theta_{23}| = 45^\circ$, and $\theta_{13} = 0^\circ$, which are in excellent agreement with the latest neutrino experimental data [9]. Also, CP is conserved in neutrino oscillations. A remarkable point is that the generation mixing is not affected by any detail of mass spectrum and model parameters. Furthermore it is also independent of boundary conditions; the tri/bi-maximal mixing follows for almost all types of flavor twisting which are allowed by the consistency relation $X_Z X_T = X_T^{-1} X_Z$, while the mass eigenvalues are full of variety. Therefore the mixing matrix (19) is a robust prediction of the presented mechanism. The Scherk-Schwarz theory of neutrino flavor provides the observed mixing pattern quite naturally.

It is enlightening here to discuss how the tri/bi-maximal mixing is derived in our scheme of flavor symmetry breaking. In the basis where the weak current is flavor blind, the light neutrino mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_l$ should take the form

$$\mathcal{M}_l = V^* \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & & \\ & m_2 & \\ & & m_3 \end{pmatrix} V^\dagger = \frac{m'_1}{6} E + \frac{m'_2}{3} D + \frac{m'_3}{2} F, \quad (20)$$

where the mass parameters with primes include Majorana phases. It is immediately noticed that several integer matrices must be realized and so highly correlated mass couplings are required to have the tri/bi-maximal mixing. For this purpose, some explicit models have been elaborated [10]. In addition, the mixing matrix V seems difficult to be obtained from a viewpoint of flavor symmetry (breaking). To see this, it is relevant to rewrite $\mathcal{M}_l$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \frac{m'_1 + m'_3}{2} I + \frac{m'_2 - m'_1}{3} D + \frac{m'_1 - m'_3}{2} X_4. \quad (21)$$

The first and second terms preserve the S_3 flavor symmetry, while the last term breaks S_3 down to S_2 which acts as an exchange of the second and third generations. To have the last term of symmetry breaking is a key ingredient for the tri/bi-maximal mixing. There are two awkward implications of this desired form of $\mathcal{M}_l$. The first is the magnitude of flavor symmetry breaking: the last term in (21) becomes a dominant contribution for any type of allowed neutrino mass spectrum. It seems unlikely in usual model building that symmetry breaking parameters are larger than symmetric ones. In our scheme, the decoupling of many heavy fermions amplifies breaking effects. Another problem is the pattern of flavor symmetry breaking: the last term in (21) is not general for the unbroken symmetry. The most generic S_2 -invariant matrix is

$$\alpha I + \frac{\beta}{3} D + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & & \\ & \delta & \\ & & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Eq. (21) indicates that a special type of flavor symmetry breaking, $\gamma = \delta$, is required for the tri/bi-maximal mixing. If $\gamma \neq \delta$, the 1-2 mixing angle falls into being outside the experimental range: a small difference $|\gamma - \delta|$ gives a big effect on the 1-2 mixing angle (and the other angles are not modified). This behavior comes from the fact that the prediction of tri/bi-maximal mixing has no relations with mass eigenvalues. The experimental bound on 1-2 mixing implies $|1 - \frac{\gamma}{\delta}| \lesssim 0.12 (\Delta m_{21}^2 / \Delta m_{31}^2)^{\frac{1}{2} \div 1} \lesssim O(0.01)$, depending on the neutrino mass spectrum. The relation $\gamma = \delta$ must be therefore exact but does not seem to follow from any symmetry argument. We have discussed that the necessary form of mass matrix is highly complicated and also technically unnatural from a viewpoint of flavor symmetry (breaking). The right flavor-breaking effect is readily available in our five-dimensional theory of flavor with boundary condition twisting.

Most types of boundary conditions are found to lead to the mixing matrix (19). The general discussion above suggests that a possible deviation [11] from the tri/bi-maximal mixing appears in the case that flavor symmetry is completely broken. Among the

boundary twisting allowed by the consistency relation, there exists only one type of such exceptional twisting on bulk fermions; $(X_Z, X_T) = (X_4, X_2)$ [or physically equivalent one (X_4, X_3)]. Fourier-expanding the bulk fermions, evaluating mass matrices, and integrating over the extra space, we find the following Majorana mass matrix for three-generation light neutrinos:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_l = & \frac{\frac{|a+b|}{a+b}(p+r)^2}{6 \tanh(|a+b|\pi R)} D + \frac{\frac{|a|}{a} p^2 \sinh(2|a|\pi R)}{12 \cosh(2|a|\pi R) + 6} E \\ & - \frac{\frac{a}{|a|} \bar{p}^2 \sinh(2|a|\pi R)}{4 \cosh(2|a|\pi R) + 2} F + \frac{p\bar{p}}{4 \cosh(2|a|\pi R) + 2} G, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $m^c = \bar{p}I + \frac{\bar{r}}{3}D$, and we have defined

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & \\ -1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

The last G term does not preserve the previous S_2 symmetry (the $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ exchange), and the tri/bi-maximal mixing is expected to be modified. It is noticed that G has another S_2 invariance ($1 \leftrightarrow 2$ or $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ exchange) if suitably combined with D , E , and F . This indicates that the flavor symmetry has two breaking sources, i.e. the non-trivial local twisting at $x^5 = 0$ ($X_Z \neq I$) and $x^5 = \pi R$ ($X_{Z'} \neq I, X_Z$), and flavor symmetry is entirely broken only globally. In an approximation that S_2 breaking (the G term) is sub-leading, the neutrino mixing matrix deviates from the tri/bi-maximal form as

$$V' = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \epsilon \\ & 1 & \\ -\bar{\epsilon} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} P' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix} P', \quad (25)$$

with a small parameter $\epsilon = \sqrt{3}\omega/2(1 + |\omega|^2) \sinh(2|a|\pi R)$ where $\omega = |a|p/a\bar{p}$. Unlike the previous case, non-vanishing, complex-valued 1-3 matrix element is generated. This implies the mechanism simultaneously generates CP violation as well as flavor symmetry breaking. It should be mentioned that the parameter ϵ cannot be made real by field redefinition; even if lepton doublets are freely rotated, a combination ω contains a unremovable physical phase degree of freedom.

The corrections to mixing angles depend on the neutrino mass spectrum. We here examine the NH case, and the IH is obtained by simply exchanging $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3$ (and

$a \leftrightarrow a^*, p \leftrightarrow \bar{p}$). The mass eigenvalues with NH become

$$|m_1| \simeq \frac{|p|^2 [\sinh^2(2|a|\pi R) + \frac{3}{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 \cosh(2|a|\pi R) + 1}, \quad (26)$$

$$|m_2| = \frac{|p+r|^2}{2 \tanh(|a+b|\pi R)}, \quad (27)$$

$$|m_3| = \frac{|\bar{p}|^2 \sinh(2|a|\pi R)}{2 \cosh(2|a|\pi R) + 1}, \quad (28)$$

with $|p| \ll |\bar{p}| \tanh^2(2|a|\pi R)$. The smallest eigenvalue m_1 is found to receive an S_2 -breaking effect in the case of small Majorana mass ($|a|R \ll 1$). The neutrino mixing angles are controlled by only one parameter ϵ and have rather definite predictions. First notice that, even if the couplings in the theory are exactly flavor blind ($b, r \rightarrow 0$), one can obtain sizable generation mixing of low-energy neutrinos as well as realistic non-degenerate mass spectrum. The 1-2 mixing is still given by that of the tri/bi-maximal mixing, similar to all the other boundary conditions ($\theta_{12} \simeq 35.3^\circ$). Therefore this is a robust prediction of our scheme for flavor symmetry breaking. The 1-3 mixing angle is small; $\theta_{13} = |\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\epsilon|$. For a small bulk Majorana mass $|a|R \ll 1$ and with flavor-blind couplings, a predictive relation among the observables is derived; $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{1}{6}(\Delta m_{21}^2/\Delta m_{31}^2)^{1/2}$. For a larger Majorana mass, the 1-3 mixing is suppressed by $\sinh(2|a|\pi R)$ and would not be in detectable range in future experiments. The low-energy leptonic CP violation is determined by the complex phase of ϵ parameter (ϕ_ϵ), which is the only one unremovable phase in the lepton sector as stated above, and may also be concerned with other CP non-conserving phenomena such as baryon asymmetry in the universe. Finally, the 2-3 neutrino mixing is given by $\tan \theta_{23} = |(1 + \epsilon/\sqrt{3})/(1 - \epsilon/\sqrt{3})|$. Interestingly, the deviation from the maximal mixing is related to the Dirac CP phase as $\cos 2\theta_{23} = -\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_{13} \cos \phi_\epsilon$. For the minimal CP violation, that means $6^\circ < |\theta_{23} - 45^\circ| < 8^\circ$ for NH, and is comparable with the current experimental bound. On the other hand, the maximal CP violation implies the maximal 2-3 mixing. The effective Majorana mass for neutrino-less double beta decay is $|\langle m_{ee} \rangle| \simeq |m_2/3 + m_3 \sin^2 \theta_{13}| \lesssim 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$ and would be accessible in future experiments.

The whole charged-lepton sector resides in usual four-dimensional (boundary) world. We here present an example of charged-lepton mass matrix with which the observed lepton mixing is dominated by the tri/bi-maximal mixing. Similar or more reasonable models might be available in a variety of scenarios which have been discussed in four or higher-dimensional theory (though, in more than five dimension, some regularization [12]

is needed to integrate out heavy modes). Our illustrative example introduces a flavor-triplet neutral scalar Φ in addition to the right-handed charged leptons and Higgs doublet which are flavor singlets. The charged-lepton masses come from the operator involving Φ with relevant symmetry imposed. If flavor-breaking expectation values $\langle \Phi \rangle = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with $f_1 \ll f_2 \ll f_3$ and no flavor structure (possibly as well as the neutrino sector) are utilized, the charged-lepton mass matrix becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_e = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

with $x_i \ll y_j \ll z_k$. This induces tiny corrections to the exact tri/bi-maximal mixing; $\delta\theta_{12} \sim \frac{m_e}{m_\mu}$, $\delta\theta_{23} \sim \frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau}$, and $\delta\theta_{13} \ll 1$. An application to the quark sector is straightforward. A similar and realistic hierarchy is obtained if the triplet Φ accompanies with the right-handed up quarks and the quark doublets (or only with the right-handed down quarks), which may also be realized by symmetry argument.

To summarize, we have presented a framework for breaking flavor symmetry with Scherk-Schwarz twist and for communicating it to low-energy effective theory by integrating out twisted variables. As an application, the S_3 flavor symmetry in the neutrino sector has been discussed and found to provide rich and robust phenomenology such as the tri/bi-maximal generation mixing. Other possibilities including quarks, grand unification, and cosmological study will be investigated in a separate article.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Alexander von Humboldt foundation, scientific grant from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan (No. 17740150), and grant-in-aid for the scientific research on priority area (#441) "Progress in elementary particle physics of the 21st century through discoveries of Higgs boson and supersymmetry" (No. 16081209).

References

- [1] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. R. Dvali and J. March-Russell, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 024032; K.R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B557** (1999) 25.
- [2] G.R. Dvali and A.Y. Smirnov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B563** (1999) 63; R. Barbieri, P. Creminelli and A. Strumia, *Nucl. Phys.* **B585** (2000) 28.
- [3] A. Lukas, P. Ramond, A. Romanino and G.G. Ross, *JHEP* **0104** (2001) 010.
- [4] S. Pakvasa and H. Sugawara, *Phys. Lett.* **B73** (1978) 61; H. Harari, H. Haut and J. Weyers, *Phys. Lett.* **B78** (1978) 459; N. Haba and K. Yoshioka, *Nucl. Phys.* **B739** (2006) 254 and references therein.
- [5] J. Scherk and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **B82** (1979) 60; *Nucl. Phys.* **B153** (1979) 61.
- [6] R.N. Mohapatra *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ph/0510213.
- [7] P.F. Harrison, D.H. Perkins and W.G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* **B530** (2002) 167; P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* **B535** (2002) 163.
- [8] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D18** (1978) 958; X.G. He and A. Zee, *Phys. Lett.* **B560** (2003) 87; C.I. Low and R.R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 033007.
- [9] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M.A. Tortola and J.W.F. Valle, *New J. Phys.* **6** (2004) 122; G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, arXiv:hep-ph/0506083.
- [10] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, *Nucl. Phys.* **B720** (2005) 64; E. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 037301; S.F. King, *JHEP* **0508** (2005) 105; I. de Medeiros Varzielas and G.G. Ross, *Nucl. Phys.* **B733** (2006) 31; K.S. Babu and X.G. He, arXiv:hep-ph/0507217; W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *JHEP* **0601** (2006) 018.
- [11] Z. z. Xing, *Phys. Lett.* **B533** (2002) 85; F. Plentinger and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Lett.* **B625** (2005) 264.
- [12] M. Bando, T. Kugo, T. Noguchi and K. Yoshioka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3601; T. Kugo and K. Yoshioka, *Nucl. Phys.* **B594** (2001) 301.